Keyhole incision with inversion over a wire technique in brachiobasilic shunt

Karim M. Elghanam, Yahia M. Alkhateep, Mahmoud S. Abdel-Haleem, Ahmed H. Ibrahim

Vascular Surgery Unit, Department of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Menoufia, Egypt

Correspondence to Karim Mohamed Elghanam, MBBCH, Master Degree, Post Code:32111. Tel: 01068950053; e-mail: k.elghanam@med.menofia.edu.eg

Received: 12 April 2021 Accepted: 27 August 2021 Published: xx Month 2021

The Egyptian Journal of Surgery 2021, 40:1074–1080

Introduction

Brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistula (AVF) represents one of the most important accesses for hemodialysis; however, its transposition requires a long incision and extensive surgical dissection. In this study, we aimed to minimize the extent of surgery by using only keyhole incisions depending on a catheter-based technique. **Patients and methods**

This work was designed as a single-center prospective study that included 30 patients indicated for brachiobasilic arteriovenous access.

After exposure and dissection of the basilic vein at the elbow, a 0.035 hydrophilic guidewire was introduced into basilic vein up to superior vena cava followed by a 6-Fr straight guiding catheter after fixing its tip to the basilic vein's transected end. The catheter was introduced gently inverting the basilic vein till a resistance was faced, representing a side branch, and a keyhole incision was performed to divide this branch. The basilic vein is then mobilized from an axillary incision and transposed in an anterolateral tunnel to be anastomosed with the brachial artery.

Results

Between April 2019 and April 2020 with follow-up to December 2020, 30 patients underwent the procedure. The mean operative time was 87.60±9.91 min, with primary patency of 86.7% and secondary patency of 93.3%.

Life table analysis showed that brachiobasilic AVF created with basilic veins more than or equal to 4 mm had a higher primary patency than that created with veins less than 4 mm in diameter.

Impairment of primary patency was significantly associated with increased age (P=0.022), diabetes mellitus (P=0.035), and patients on anticoagulant therapy (P=0.004). Two (6.7%) patients developed arm edemas, five (16.7%) patients had postoperative hematomas, three (10.0%) patients experienced infections, and no patient developed pseudoaneurysm, arterial steal, or nerve injuries.

Conclusion

Construction of arteriovenous fistulae using keyhole incisions and inversion of the vein over a wire provides a feasible technique with minimal complications and improved cosmetic outcomes.

Keywords:

arteriovenous fistula, brachiobasilic, keyhole, one stage, two stage

Egyptian J Surgery 40:1074–1080 © 2021 The Egyptian Journal of Surgery 1110-1121

Introduction

Globally, there were 697.5 million cases of chronic kidney diseases that require an efficient provision of vascular access to ensure optimal outcomes form hemodialysis [1]. According to the most recent Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) guidelines, it has been recommended that the radio-cephalic arteriovenous fistula (AVF) is the first choice as a vascular access in hemodialysis patients [2]. In conditions that these accesses were not feasible or failed, the options include brachial artery either autogenous vein or through prosthetic graft construction [2]. Autogenous AVFs are known for their better long-term patency and lower complication rates compared with prosthetic graft access [3].

There are usually two available autogenous brachial AVFs: brachiocephalic AVF and brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistula (BBAVF). Unlike cephalic vein, the basilic vein has the advantage of being a deep vein protected from damage caused by previous venipuncture and is often of good caliber. However, the basilic vein must be mobilized and superficialized during fistula formation; thus, the complexity of the procedure and complication rates are increased [4]. In 1976, Dagher *et al.* [5] first described transposition of the divided and well-mobilized vein by rotating it

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

anterolaterally through a subcutaneous tunnel in the arm. Since then, there have been two usual methods of BBAVF creation: a two-stage or one-stage operation. The two-stage procedure allows for maturation of the basilic vein first, which made the vein less liable to damage; however, it is associated with increased costs, infection rate, and anesthetic risks because it exposes the patient to two procedures [6].

On the contrary, the one-stage procedure was reported to be a reliable technique but the traditional method of a single long incision is associated with a high rate of hematoma formation, significant arm discomfort, ugly aggressive scar, and a high rate of wound complication, especially with diabetes, immunologic, nutritional and aging issues associated with end-stage renal disease [6].

So, there are many trials to minimize the vein harvesting techniques in these patients like using a segmental bridged incisions instead of large one incision, using laryngoscope or endoscopic techniques [7]. All these procedures try to avoid the perioperative complications and to improve the patency in comparison with the standard techniques [6].

In 2005, Jennings *et al.* [7] described the use of keyhole and inversion technique for autogenous brachiobasilic transposition through small skin incisions. This technique could be performed under local anesthesia and patients are discharged on the same day of the surgery.

Although the use of autogenous brachiobasilic transpositions has been investigated in various studies, there has been significant disparity in published patency and complication rates [8,9]. The aim of this study was to evaluate use of catheter-based technique with only keyhole incisions in autogenous brachiobasilic transposition AVFs.

Patients and methods

This study was designed as a single-center prospective study in which BBAVF was performed in 30 patients with end-stage renal disease at the vascular surgery unit of Menoufia University Hospitals, Menoufia, Egypt. This study was approved by Menoufia University Hospitals' ethical committee. A written informed consent from all participants was obtained before procedures. The study was performed between April 2019 and April 2020, and follow-ups of patients were carried out until December 2020.

Inclusion criteria included all patients who were planned for brachiobasilic shunting.

Exclusion criteria included patients with heart failure, coagulopathy, peripheral arterial diseases, basilic diameter less than 3 mm, and history of synthetic shunt on the same limb.

Surgical technique

This technique started by basilic vein exposure and dissection at cubital fossa. A straight, stiff, 0.035-inch, 180-cm-long hydrophilic guidewire was introduced through the vein and advanced under fluoroscopic guidance till its tip reached the superior vena cava. A 6-Fr straight guiding catheter was advanced over the wire, with its tip fixed to the vein by sutures (Fig. 1). Then, gentle pushing of the catheter proximally over the wire was done to invert the vein (as an intussusception). Pushing forward was kept till facing a resistance, and the site of resistance was determined accurately as it was mostly the site of the side branch (Fig. 2).

A single skin incision was made over the resistance about 0.5-1 cm with direct division of the side branch

Figure 1



Fixation of the catheter to the tip of the vein in the cubital fossa.

Figure 2



Division of a side branch.

(Fig. 2). The endothelium always remains intraluminal throughout the procedure.

Externalization of the basilic vein was performed through a short axillary incision (Figs 3 and 4).

After full mobilization of the basilic vein, it was checked for any leak, prepared as usual, and tunneled subcutaneously, and then it was anastomosed to the brachial artery in the cubital incision (Fig. 5).

Data collection

Data were gained from each patient about age, sex, anticoagulant treatment, previous hemodialysis access, hypertension, diabetes, or smoking. Vein diameter was assessed by duplex and reported. Operation time was also recorded.

All surgical outcome definitions were in accordance with the Society for Vascular Surgery reporting guidelines [10]. Arm edema, hematoma, infection, pseudoaneurysm, or arterial steal were assessed and recorded if present. Primary patency was defined as the

Figure 3



Advancement of the catheter till a resistance was faced and then a keyhole incision is made to divide a side branch.

Figure 4



Extracting the vein through an axillary incision and preparation of the vein for tunneling and anastomosis.

interval between fistula formation and initial failure without any intervention. Secondary patency was defined as the interval between fistula formation and eventual failure despite all interventions to maintain patency [11].

Statistical analysis

The collected data were organized, tabulated, and statistically analyzed using SPSS, version 24 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, USA).

Discrete variables were presented as numbers (counts) and percent. Continuous variables presented as mean and SD. Data were analyzed by using χ^2 test and Fisher's exact test. AVF performance was calculated by life tables (Kaplan–Meier with log-rank evaluation). Statistical significance was defined as a confidence interval more than or equal to 95%, and *P* value was considered significant if less than 0.05.

Results

Demographic characteristics of the study cohort

The present study included 30 patients, where 53.3% were males, with a mean age of 52 ± 27 years. Overall, 20% of patients had previous access, 30.0% were diabetic, 36.7% were hypertensive, and 33.3% were smokers. In addition, 70.0% of patients had a vein diameter more than 4 mm and 10.0% were on anticoagulant treatment. The average operation time of the study was 87.60 min, with a range between 73 and 103 min (Table 1).

Relationship between demographic characteristic of the study cohort and primary patency

Impairment of primary patency was significantly associated with increased age (P=0.022), diabetes mellitus (P=0.035), small vein diameter (P=0.035), and anticoagulant therapy (P=0.004) (Table 2).

The mean duration of follow up was 14±6 months (range, 8–20 months). Two (6.7%) patients developed

Figure 5



Extracting the vein through an axillary incision and preparation of the vein for tunneling and anastomosis.

Parameters	Patients (N=30) [n (%)]			
Age				
Mean±SD	52.27±14.56			
Median	53.50			
Minimum-maximum	24.00-77.00			
Sex				
Male	16 (53.3)			
Female	14 (46.7)			
Previous access				
No	24 (80.0)			
Yes	6 (20.0)			
Hypertension				
No	19 (63.3)			
Yes	11 (36.7)			
Diabetes mellitus				
No	21 (70.0)			
Yes	9 (30.0)			
Smoker				
No	20 (66.7)			
Yes	10 (33.3)			
Vein diameter				
Less than 4 mm	9 (30.0)			
More than 4 mm	21 (70.0)			
Anticoagulant				
No	27 (90.0)			
Yes	3 (10.0)			
Operation time				
Mean±SD	87.60±9.91			
Median	89.50			
Minimum-maximum	73.0–103.0			

arm edemas, five (16.7%) patients had hematomas, one (3.3%) patient develop stenosis, three (10.0%) patients experienced infections, whereas no cases experienced pseudoaneurysm, arterial steal, or nerve injuries during the study period. The primary patency was 86.7%, whereas secondary patency was 93.3% (Table 3).

Relationship between complications and surgical outcomes of the study cohort and primary patency

There was a statistically significant association between the complications of the included patients involving arm edema, hematoma, secondary patency, infection, and impaired primary patency (P<0.01) (Table 4).

Relationship between complications and surgical outcomes of the study cohort and vein diameter

Small vein diameter was significantly associated with arm edema (P=0.025), hematoma (P=0.008), secondary patency (P=0.025), and infection (P=0.005) (Table 5).

Relationship between vein diameter and primary patency Life table analysis showed that BBAVF created with basilic veins more than or equal to 4 mm had a higher

 Table 2 Relationship between demographic characteristic of the study cohort and primary patency

Parameters	Primary pat	Primary patency [n (%)]			
	No (<i>N</i> =4)	Yes (N=26)			
Age					
Mean±SD	67.0±7.35	50.0±14.1	0.022		
Median	65.0	49.0			
Minimum-maximum	61.0–77.0	24.0-74.0			
Sex					
Male	2 (50.0)	14 (53.8)	0.886		
Female	2 (50.0)	12 (46.2)			
Previous access					
No	3 (75.0)	21 (80.8)	0.788		
Yes	1 (25.0)	5 (19.2)			
Hypertension					
No	1 (25.0)	18 (69.2)	0.087		
Yes	3 (75.0)	8 (30.8)			
Diabetes mellitus					
No	1 (25.0)	20 (76.9)	0.035		
Yes	3 (75.0)	6 (23.1)			
Smoking					
No	3 (75.0)	17 (65.4)	0.704		
Yes	1 (25.0)	9 (34.6)			
Vein diameter					
Less than 4 mm	3 (75.0)	6 (23.1)	0.035		
More than 4 mm	1 (25.0)	20 (76.9)			
Anticoagulant treatment					
No	2 (50.0)	25 (96.2)	0.004		
Yes	2 (50.0)	1 (3.8)			
Operation time					
Mean±SD	84.0±10.42	50.0±14.1	0.702		
Median	83.50	49.0			
Minimum-maximum	75.0–94.0	24.0–74.0			

primary patency than that created with veins that were less than 4 mm in diameter. However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (P=0.273) (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The increasing number of patients requiring hemodialysis triggers a drive for innovation of different methods for arteriovenous access. The BBAVF is considered one of the best choices to avoid the potential adverse effects of prosthetic grafts. The anatomic inaccessibility, hematoma formation, basilic vein injury, high failure rate, and cosmetically disfiguring incisions are considered the main obstacles against this technique [12].

Since Dagher *et al.* [5] described the transposition of a well-mobilized vein by rotating it anterolaterally, there are several changes and modifications in the technique by either doing this through a two-stage or one-stage procedure in a trial to get better patient outcomes.

Tan *et al.* [6] showed a superior primary patency rate of 49.1% for the one-stage procedure compared with 40.4% for the two-stage procedure. However, Jun Yan Wee *et al.* [13] conducted a large systematic review with meta-analysis, which reported that the two-stage approach is associated with improved 2-year primary patency rates. However, they finally concluded that there is no difference in both

Table 3	Complications	and	surgical	outcomes	of the	study
cohort						

Parameters	Patients (N=30) [n (%)]
Arm edema	
No	28 (93.3)
Yes	2 (6.7)
Hematoma	
No	25 (83.3)
Yes	5 (16.7)
Infection	
No	27 (90.0)
Yes	3 (10.0)
Pseudoaneurysm	
No	30 (100.0)
Yes	0
Arterial steal	
No	30 (100.0)
Yes	0
Nerve injury	
No	30 (100.0)
Yes	0
Primary patency	
No	4 (13.3)
Yes	26 (86.7)
Secondary patency	
No	2 (6.7)
Yes	28 (93.3)
Follow up duration	
Mean±SD	14±6
Median	12
Minimum-maximum	8–20

techniques in relation to primary and secondary patency rates at 12 months [13].

Regarding the complications like steal syndrome, hematoma, infection, pseudoaneurysm, stenosis, or postoperative thrombosis, many of the studies reported that there is no difference between the two techniques [9,13,14].

The one stage offers benefits of one-step surgery and can result in a shorter duration for maturation with low cost than the two stages [12]. Moreover, there are many trials nowadays to avoid the large single incision that is usually used with its hazards by using a minimally invasive technique like using multiple skin incisions, videoscopic approach, laryngoscope, or inversion over a wire [15].

On the contrary, Peter Gloviczki *et al.* [16] conducted a study using the single-stage technique through one or two large incisions where a higher rate of complications

Table 4	Relationship	between	comp	lications	and surgical
outcom	es of the study	y cohort	and p	rimary pa	atency

			•		
	30 (100.0) 0	Parameters	Primary pa [n	Primary patency (N=30) [n (%)]	
			No (<i>N</i> =4)	Yes (N=26)	
	30 (100.0)	Arm edema			
	0	No	2 (50.0)	26 (100.0)	< 0.01
		Yes	2 (50.0)	0	
	4 (13.3)	Hematoma			
	26 (86.7)	No	1 (25.0)	24 (92.3)	< 0.01
		Yes	3 (75.0)	2 (7.7)	
	2 (6.7)	Infection			
	28 (93.3)	No	1 (25.0)	26 (100.0)	< 0.01
		Yes	3 (75.0)	0	
	14±6	Secondary pat	ency		
	12	No	2 (50.0)	0	< 0.01
um	8–20	Yes	2 (50.0)	26 (100.0)	

Table 5 Relationshi	p between c	omplications a	and surgical	outcomes of	f the study	cohort and	vein diameter
---------------------	-------------	----------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	------------	---------------

Parameters	Vein dian	Vein diameter [<i>n</i> (%)]			
	Less than 4 mm (N=9)	More than $4 \text{ mm} (N=21)$			
Arm edema					
No	7 (77.8)	21 (100.0)	0.025		
Yes	2 (22.2)	0			
Hematoma					
No	5 (55.6)	20 (95.2)	0.008		
Yes	4 (44.4)	1 (4.8)			
Infection					
No	6 (66.7)	21 (100.0)	0.005		
Yes	3 (33.3)	0			
Secondary patency					
No	3 (33.3)	1 (4.8)	0.025		
Yes	6 (66.7)	20 (95.2)			

Figure 6



Life table analysis showed similar primary patency at 12 months postoperatively. However, it showed that BBAVP created with a basilic vein 4 mm or more in diameter to have a higher primary patency rate at 0, 3, 6, and 9 months, compared with those created with veins that were less than 4 mm in diameter. However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (P=0.273).

was reported in comparison with the present technique results regarding upper limb edemas (12.2 vs. 6.7%), vascular steal syndromes (12.2 vs. 0%), and less rate of complications regarding hematoma formation (10.5 vs. 16.7%) and infection rate (3.5 vs. 10%). Furthermore, the present technique recorded a higher primary patency at 12-month follow-up (86.7 vs. 66.3%) and a secondary patency (93.3 vs. 75.9%) in comparison with the technique used by Peter Gloviczki *et al.* [16].

Leone *et al.* [17] conducted a videoscopic approach to harvest the basilic vein for BBAVF in 21 patient as a one-stage through two small incisions. The mean operative time was 159 min, which was longer than the present technique (87.6 min), with no major difference between the two techniques regarding patency and complications. However, the significant cost of disposables, endoscopic equipment, and the surgeon's ability to learn the procedures remain the potential barriers to extend this technique for vascular access. In addition to the technical problems in the form of thermal injury to the vein, carbon dioxide embolization and the formation of intraluminal clot will stand against the postoperative patency of the AVF [5].

In agreement with the present work, Jennings *et al.* [7] used laryngoscope to mobilize the basilic vein through two small incisions, one in the axilla and the second just proximal to the cubital fossa. This study includes 47 patients during a 20-month follow-up period. The primary and secondary patency rates were 80 and 92%, respectively, and they did not report any cases

with hematoma formation or vascular steal, which correlate with other minimally invasive techniques. Diabetes mellitus is considered a major risk factor that affected the primary patency of the access, as the present study recorded that three of four patients who experienced impairment of primary patency were diabetic (P=0.035). This finding correlates with a meta-analysis conducted by Yan *et al.* [18].

In agreement with the present study findings, Hill and colleagues used the same technique with a mean operative time of less than 90 min, with 66% primary patency and secondary patency of 72%. However, Hill and colleagues reported that two patients developed postoperative hematomas and one patient developed arm edema in comparison with five patients and two patients, respectively, in our study. Furthermore, Hill and colleagues reported a case of ulnar nerve palsy that occurred immediately after surgery and resolved spontaneous. No cases of pseudoaneurysm or arterial steal were recorded in both studies. Their life table analysis showed that basilic veins with 4-mm diameter or more had a higher primary patency than the veins with diameter less than 4 mm in 8-month follow-up (80 vs. 50%) [7]. The present work also reported the same finding regarding the vein diameter, as large veins were associated with a higher patency rate, but this did not reach statistical significance in our study.

Preoperative duplex scanning must be considered for proper patient selection for the keyhole technique. Inversion procedure is not preferred to be performed in cases for which the vein diameter is less than 4 m because of the better manipulations, easy invagination over the wire, less rate of avulsed side branches, and better maturation of the larger-diameter veins.

Recommendations

A small sample size and the review of a single-center outcomes are considered the main limitations of this study. We recommend processing a large multicentric randomized study to evaluate and compare between different minimally invasive techniques regarding the patency and postoperative complications. A preoperative duplex scan in recommended to detect the size of the vein and the site of side branches.

Conclusion

Transposition of BBAVF using keyhole and inversion over a wire technique is considered a feasible surgical procedure as it had low rate of complications and higher primary and secondary patency rates. Thus, despite being rarely performed for BBAVF, this technique may be used as an alternative to the traditional single-incision technique, and it can give comparable results to other minimally invasive techniques, provided that the basilic vein is of good quality and 4 mm or more in diameter.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Bikbov B, Purcell CA, Levey AS, Smith M, Abdoli A, Abebe M, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2020; 395:709–733.
- 2 Lok CE, Huber TS, Lee T, Shenoy S, Yevzlin AS, Abreo K, et al. KDOQI clinical practice guideline for vascular access: 2019 update. Am J Kidney Dis 2020; 75:S1–S164.
- 3 Murad MH, Elamin MB, Sidawy AN, Malaga G, Rizvi AZ, Flynn DN, et al. Autogenous versus prosthetic vascular access for hemodialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Vasc Surg 2008; 48:S34–S47.
- 4 Koksoy C, Demirci RK, Balci D, Solak T, Köse SK. Brachiobasilic versus brachiocephalic arteriovenous fistula: a prospective randomized study. J Vasc Surg 2009; 49:171–177.e5.
- 5 Dagher F, Gelber R, Ramos E, Sadler J. The use of basilic vein and brachial artery as an A-V fistula for long term hemodialysis. J Surg Res 1976; 20:373–376.
- 6 Tan TW, Siracuse JJ, Brooke BS, Baril DT, Woo K, Rybin D, et al. Comparison of one-stage and two-stage upper arm brachiobasilic

arteriovenous fistula in the vascular quality initiative. J Vasc Surg 2019; 69:187-1195.e2.

- 7 Jennings WC, Blebea J, Arcos VK, Maliska M, Fadner B, Nguyen A. Minimally invasive basilic vein harvest for arteriovenous fistula transposition using a laryngoscope. J Vasc Access 2012; 13:508–512.
- 8 Hill BB, Chan AK, Faruqi RM, Arko FR, Zarins CK, Fogarty TJ. Keyhole technique for autologous brachiobasilic transposition arteriovenous fistula. J Vasc Surg 2005; 42:945–950.
- 9 Bashar K, Healy DA, Elsheikh S, Browne LD, Walsh MT, Clarke-Moloney M, et al. One-stage vs. two-stage brachio-basilic arteriovenous fistula for dialysis access: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2015; 10:e0120154.
- 10 Cooper J, Power AH, DeRose G, Forbes TL, Dubois L. Similar failure and patency rates when comparing one- and two-stage basilic vein transposition. J Vasc Surg 2016; 61:809–816.
- 11 Sidawy AN, Gray R, Besarab A, Henry M, Ascher E, Silva M Jr., et al. Recommended standards for reports dealing with arteriovenous hemodialysis accesses. J Vasc Surg 2002; 35:603–610.
- 12 Glickman M. Basilic vein transposition: review of different techniques. J Vasc Access 2014; 15:81–84.
- 13 Jun Yan Wee I, Mohamed IH, Patel A, Choong AMTL. A systematic review and meta-analysis of one-stage versus two-stage brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistula creation. J Vasc Surg 2018; 68:285–297.
- 14 Cooper J, Power AH, DeRose G, Forbes TL, Dubois L. Similar failure and patency rates when comparing one- and two-stage basilic vein transposition. J Vasc Surg 2015; 61:809–816.
- 15 Beathard GA, Lok CE, Glickman MH, Al-Jaishi AA, Bednarski D, Cull DL, et al. Definitions and end points for interventional studies for arteriovenous dialysis access. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2018; 13:501–512.
- 16 Gloviczki P, Dalsing MC, Eklöf BO, Lurie F, Wakefield TW, Gloviczki ML. Handbook of Venous and Lymphatic Disorders. 4th Edition. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2017. doi:10.1201/9781315382449.
- 17 Leone JP, Glaser AD, Hufstetler R, Illig KA. Videoscopic basilic vein harvest for creation of transposed brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistulae. Vasc Endovascular Surg 2014; 48:421–424.
- 18 Yan Y, Ye D, Yang L, Ye W, Zhan D, Zhang L, et al. A meta-analysis of the association between diabetic patients and AVF failure in dialysis. Ren Fail 2018; 40:379–383.