Extending the optimal timing for early laparoscopic cholecystectomy among patients presenting with acute calculous cholecystitis

Islam M. Korayem, Samer S. Bessa, Islam El-Sayes

Department of Surgery, Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Surgery Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

Correspondence to Islam M. Korayem, MD, PhD, 91 Ahmed Shawky Street, Moustafa Kamel, Sidi Gaber, Alexandria 21523, Egypt Tel: +201101300066; e-mail: islam.korayem@alexmed.edu.eg

Received: 12 May 2022 Revised: 05 June 2022 Accepted: 05 July 2022 Published: 05 April 2023

The Egyptian Journal of Surgery 2023, 41:927–933

Purpose

The optimal timing of early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (ELC) from the onset of acute calculous cholecystitis (ACC) attack remains controversial. The purpose of this study was to compare the outcomes of ELC within 72h from the onset of symptoms versus that performed after 72h and up to 10 days from the onset of symptoms.

Patients and methods

We performed a retrospective analysis for patients who received LC for ACC between August 1, 2018, and December 31, 2021. We compared patients who received very ELC within 72 h versus those who received ELC between 4 and 10 days from the onset of symptoms in terms of bailout procedure, postoperative complications, and postoperative hospital stay.

Results

We analyzed 90 patients, with 45 patients per group. There was no significant difference between ELC and very ELC groups regarding subtotal cholecystectomy (11 vs. 2.2%, P=0.2), conversion to open surgery (9 vs. 2.2%, P=0.36), postoperative bile leak (6.7 vs. 2.2%, P=0.62), and the length of postoperative hospital stay (24h, P=0.12).

Conclusion

ELC is safe to perform for patients with ACC presenting beyond 3 days from the onset of symptoms, with no significant increased morbidity, provided that it is performed by well-experienced surgeons in well-equipped medical centers.

Keywords:

acute calculous cholecystitis, early laparoscopic cholecystectomy, timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Egyptian J Surgery 2023, 41:927–933 © 2023 The Egyptian Journal of Surgery 1110-1121

Introduction

Gallstone disease remains one of the leading medical problems and requires surgical intervention. It affects ~10–15% of the general population, with women having four times higher risk than men during the reproductive age, which decreases in older ages to near equality [1]. Almost 40% of patients with gallstone disease will experience gallstone-related complications throughout their life, with acute calculous cholecystitis (ACC) being the first clinical presentation among 10–15% of them [2–4].

Although laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) is established to be the gold standard definitive treatment for ACC, the optimal timing of LC remains a matter of debate [5].

For years, delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy (DLC) 6–8 weeks after onset of symptoms was the modality of treatment provided for patients with ACC for the concerns of higher morbidity rate that may be associated with early laparoscopic cholecystectomy

(ELC), such as a higher rate of conversion to open surgery and postoperative bile leakage [6].

On the contrary, several meta-analyses including relevant studies have demonstrated that ELC performed during the initial admission of patients with ACC is preferred over delaying it after resolution of the acute phase for being associated with shorter postoperative hospital stay and lower hospital costs. Additionally, such meta-analyses failed to find any differences in overall morbidity, bile duct injury, and conversion rate between both sets of LC timings [7–13].

The definition of ELC has, however, been vague with controversy regarding the optimal timing to be considered early. LC was considered early when

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

performed within 96 h following admission or 1 week following the onset of symptoms [9–15].

The updated World Society of Emergency Surgery (WSES) guidelines recommended ELC to be performed as soon as possible in the presence of adequate surgical expertise, within 7 days from hospital admission and within 10 days from the onset of symptoms [16]. Alternatively, the updated 2018 Tokyo guidelines (TG18) recommended performing ELC as soon as possible regardless of how much time has passed since the onset of symptoms of ACC provided that the patient is deemed operable with adequate performance status [17].

Accordingly, it was our aim to compare the outcomes of ELC performed within 72 h from the onset of ACC symptoms versus that performed beyond 72 h and up to 10 days from the onset of symptoms in terms of the rate of conversion to open, postoperative morbidity including postoperative bile leak, and the length of postoperative hospital stay.

Patients and methods Study design and definitions

Before August 2018, LC was performed for patients with ACC presenting to us within 72 h from the onset of symptoms. For patients presenting beyond 3 days from onset of acute attack, conservative treatment followed by DLC 6–8 weeks later was our adopted management protocol. Upon failure of conservative treatment, open cholecystectomy was carried out. Starting August 2018, our policy was modified to be in accordance with 2016 WSES guidelines [18] and TG18 guidelines [17], where ELC was performed for patients presenting with ACC within 10 days from the onset of symptoms.

We performed a retrospective review of prospectively maintained patient database to analyze all LCs performed between August 1, 2018, and December 31, 2021. We excluded patients who received LC for chronic calculous cholecystitis. Patients presenting with ACC with concomitant obstructive jaundice were also excluded. We then compared the data of patients with ACC who received LC beyond 3 days and up to 10 days from onset of symptoms (the ELC group) to an equal number of the most recent consecutive patients who received LC for ACC within 3 days from onset of symptoms [the very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (VELC) group]. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee and the Institutional Review Board of Alexandria Faculty of Medicine.

The diagnosis and severity of ACC was based on the criteria endorsed by TG18 [17]. The operative time was calculated from time of insertion of first trocar till the end of suturing of port-site skin incisions.

Study outcomes

The primary outcome of the study was to compare the rate of biliary complications among the two groups as well as the need for bailout procedure such as subtotal cholecystectomy or conversion to open surgery. Secondary outcomes included the length of postoperative hospital stay and the incidence of surgical site infection.

Surgical technique

All LCs included in the study were performed by a highly experienced surgeon in the field of laparoscopic hepatobiliary surgery to control for confounding bias in intervention. LC was performed using the standard four-port technique. Closed pneumoperitoneum was achieved using either Veress needle or optical trocar.

In case of difficulty to achieve the critical view of safety, we resorted to bailout procedures such as subtotal cholecystectomy or conversion to open surgery. Subtotal cholecystectomy was performed by dividing the gallbladder closest as possible to the Hartmann's pouch with extraction of all residual gallstones followed by suture-closing the remaining gallbladder cuff using absorbable suture materials. The gallbladder was then extracted through the epigastric port in a specimen bag. Drain insertion was not routinely used unless we opted for bailout procedures, in case of excessive adhesiolysis, or marked soiling of the field necessitating thorough irrigation.

Data collection

Preoperative patient variables included demographics, surgical and medical history, and preoperative laboratory workup [serum total and direct bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, alkaline phosphatase, C-reactive protein (CRP), total leukocyte count (TLC), and polymorphonuclear leukocyte count].

Operative variables included operative time, presence of tough adhesions masking the gallbladder upon gaining abdominal access, the condition of gallbladder wall (friable or gangrenous), gallbladder wall perforation during grasping or manipulation, presence of dense adhesions obscuring Calot's triangle, absent plane of dissection between gallbladder and liver bed, and the need for bailout techniques.

Postoperative outcomes included the length of postoperative hospital stay, incidence of biliary

complications such as bile duct injury with leak or stricture, and the incidence of wound infection.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were reported for the entire study cohort. Categorical variables were summarized as numbers and percentages and continuous variables were summarized as medians and interquartile ranges. The groups were compared based on the timing of LC from the onset of acute attack (within 3 days versus between 4 and 10 days). Univariate analyses were performed using Pearson's χ^2 /Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables. Statistical significance was considered at P value less than 0.05. All the analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 26 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA).

Results

Over a 41-month period, 698 consecutive LCs were performed for patients with symptomatic gallstone disease. A total of 551 patients with chronic calculous cholecystitis were excluded and 16 patients were excluded for having ACC with concomitant obstructive jaundice. The remaining 131 patients received LC for ACC; 86 of these patients received LC within 3 days of onset of symptoms. A total of 45 patients received LC between 4 and 10 days from the onset of symptoms and constituted the ELC group. They were matched with the latest consecutive 45 of 86 patients who received LC within 3 days of onset of symptoms to constitute the VELC group. A total of 90 patients (45 patients per group) represented our study cohort (Fig. 1).

The median age was 48 years [interquartile range (IQR) 40–53]. The majority were females (n=60, 66.7%), and the median BMI was 31 kg/m^2 (IQR=29-33). A total of 18 (20%) patients were diabetic, 12 (13%) were hypertensive, five (5.6%) patients had bilharzial hepatic fibrosis, and two (2.2%) patients had chronic hepatitis C virus infection. In addition, three (3.3%) patients had prior upper abdominal surgery in the form of open splenectomy via left paramedian incision, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, and longitudinal pyloromyotomy via small upper right transverse abdominal incision. According to TG18 classification for severity of acute cholecystitis, 41 (45.6%) patients were grade I, 48 (53.3%) patients were grade II, and only one (1%) patient was grade III. The median time to LC from the onset of ACC symptoms was 3.5 days (IQR=2-7). Successful completion of LC was achieved in 85 (94%) patients, whereas five (5.6%) patients required conversion to open surgery due to occurrence of bleeding difficult to control laparoscopically in two (2.2%) patients, presence of dense and tough adhesions between gallbladder and





Flow chart showing study design and the population of patients included in the analysis over 41 month-period. ACC, acute calculous cholecystitis; ELC, early laparoscopic cholecystectomy; VELC, very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

duodenum and/or colon precluding safe dissection in two (2.2%) patients, and the presence of frozen Calot's triangle that interfered with successful creation of the critical view of safety in one (1.1%) patient.

Table 1 shows the comparison between VELC and ELC groups regarding demographic data, patient comorbidities, and preoperative lab values. The median time from onset of symptoms till LC was 2 days (IQR=1-3) for patients who received VELC compared with 7 days (IQR=5-8) for patients in the ELC group.

Table 2 shows the intraoperative data for the two groups. The median operative time was significantly longer among patients who received ELC compared with those who received VELC (100 vs. 70 min, P<0.001), as shown in Fig. 2.

Regarding postoperative outcomes, the median length of postoperative hospital stay was similar in both groups (24 h, P=0.12). In terms of postoperative complications, none

Table 1 Demog	graphic data	, patient coi	norbidities	s, and pred	operative	laboratory	findings	among v	ery early	laparoso	;opic
cholecystector	ny and early	laparoscop	ic cholecy	stectomy	groups						

Variables	VELC (<i>N</i> =45)	ELC (N=45)	Р
Demographic data			
Age (years)	47 (39–53)	48 (42–54)	0.54
Sex, female $[n (\%)]$	33 (73.3)	27 (60)	0.18
BMI (kg/m²)	31 (29–33)	31 (30–33)	0.81
Comorbidities			
Diabetes	6 (13)	12 (26.7)	0.11
Hypertension	6 (13)	6 (13)	1
HCV infection	1 (2.2)	1 (2.2)	1
Bilharzial hepatic fibrosis	2 (4.4)	3 (6.7)	1
Prior upper abdominal surgery	3 (6.7)	0	0.24
Preoperative laboratory workup			
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	0.8 (0.6–0.9)	0.52
Direct bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)	0.71
AST (U/I)	23 (21–28)	27 (21–29)	0.08
ALT (U/I)	29 (24–34)	31 (25–38)	0.09
ALP (U/I)	109 (99–120)	114 (100–126)	0.1
Hb (g/dl)	13.1 (12–14.5)	13.4 (12.2–14.6)	0.57
Total leukocyte count (×10 ³ /µl)	9.4 (7.9–10.9)	11.7 (10.4–13.4)	<0.001*
PMNL count (×10 ³ /µl)	7.1 (5.9–8.3)	9.3 (8.5–10.6)	<0.001*
CRP (mg/l)	13.5 (8.7–21)	39 (23.5–60)	<0.001*
Severity of acute cholecystitis			
Grade I	41 (91)	0	
Grade II	3 (6.7)	45 (100)	<0.001*
Grade III	1 (2.2)	0	

ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CRP, C-reactive protein; ELC, early laparoscopic cholecystectomy; HCV, hepatitis C virus; PMNL, polymorphonuclear leukocyte; VELC, very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Continuous data are reported as median (interquartile range).

Categorical data are reported as n (%).

*Statistical significance at P value less than 0.05.

Table 2 Operative data among very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy and early laparoscopic cholecystectomy groups

Variables	VELC (<i>N</i> =45)	ELC (N=45)	Р
Time from onset of symptoms to LC (days)	2 (1–3)	7 (5–8)	<0.001*
Concealed gallbladder upon abdominal entry	4 (9)	21 (46.7)	<0.001*
Friable gallbladder wall	1 (2.2)	12 (26.7)	0.001*
Perforation of gallbladder	4 (9)	18 (40)	0.001*
Dense adhesions obscuring Calot's triangle	7 (15.6)	21 (46.7)	0.001*
Gangrene of gallbladder wall	0	4 (9)	0.12
Absent gallbladder/liver plane of dissection	4 (9)	14 (31)	0.008*
Abdominal drain insertion	3 (6.7)	13 (29)	0.006*
Bailout techniques			
Subtotal cholecystectomy	1 (2.2)	5 (11)	0.2
Conversion to open surgery due to	1 (2.2)	4 (9)	0.36
Bleeding	1 (2.2)	1 (2.2)	
Adhesions with colon/duodenum	0	2 (4.4)	
Frozen Calot's triangle	0	1 (2.2)	

ELC, early laparoscopic cholecystectomy; VELC, very early laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Continuous data are reported as median (interquartile range).

Categorical data are reported as n (%).

*Statistical significance at *P* value less than 0.05.

of the patients had iatrogenic bile duct injury; however, four (4.4%) patients experienced postoperative bile leak [three (6.7%) patients in the ELC group vs. one (2.2%) patient in the VELC group, P=0.62]. Three (3.3%) of them required bailout through subtotal cholecystectomy, and the leak was evident within the first 24h after

surgery through abdominal drain output. Magnetic resonance cholangio-pancreatography was performed to exclude missed bile duct injury or injured accessory bile duct. All such cases were managed conservatively, and the drains were kept in place until no further bile output was encountered. Regular follow-up was typically



Operative time among both groups. ELC group has significantly longer operative time compared to VELC group.

performed using serial abdominal ultrasonography to exclude any undrained intraabdominal collection before drain removal. The fourth patient with bile leak presented to the emergency department 5 days after discharge with right hypochondrial pain, fever, and vomiting. His TLC was 21.8×10³/µl. Urgent abdomino-pelvic contrastenhance computed tomography was performed, which revealed the presence of sizable loculated fluid collection in the subhepatic region. Percutaneous drainage of the collection was performed under computed tomography guidance, and the drained fluid was bilious in nature. Accordingly, the patient was subjected to endoscopic retrograde cholangiography, which showed minor leak from the cystic duct stump immediately distal to the applied clip. Sphincterotomy and stenting were performed, which helped to steadily reduce the bilious output from the pigtail drain until it completely ceased after 9 days and was removed after confirming the absence of undrained intraabdominal collections via abdominal ultrasonography.

Among the entire cohort, 10 (11%) patients developed postoperative superficial wound infection, which was similar among both groups [six (13%) patients in the ELC group vs. four (9%) patients in the VELC group, P=0.5]. They all presented with serous and/or purulent wound discharge few days postoperatively. They were all successfully managed conservatively after prescribing the corresponding antibiotic therapy. None of the patients developed incisional hernia at the 3-month follow-up.

Discussion

LC is considered the optimal treatment modality for patients with moderate to severely symptomatic acute

cholecystitis in otherwise fit patients as well as those with mildly symptomatic acute cholecystitis who prefer surgery over conservative management with fluids, analgesia, and antibiotics for fear from recurrent gallstone-related complications [19–21].

The majority of the patients in our study had ACC of grade II severity, followed by grade I, which conforms with the findings reported in the literature [22,23]. It was not surprising, however, that there were more diabetic patients among those who received ELC compared with those who received VELC, which may be explained by neuropathy and the higher pain threshold experienced with diabetes, which may predispose to delayed presentation of patients with ACC. Similarly, Bundgaard et al. [22] in their study found that diabetes was significantly higher among the groups of patients who received LC beyond 3 days compared with those who received LC within 3 days of symptom onset. We found patients within the ELC group to have significantly higher TLC, polymorphonuclear leukocyte count, and CRP, which is in partial accordance with the results reported by Brunee et al. [24], who found significantly higher CRP levels among patients who received LC done beyond 3 days compared with those who received LC within 3 days of ACC. However, elevated white cell count was not demonstrated in other studies among patients who received LC beyond 3 days [24–26]. This may be explained by the fact that in our newly implemented policy, we did not offer initial medical treatment with intention-to-treat for patients who presented with ACC beyond 3 days of onset of attack who were deemed operable pertaining to their performance status and laboratory investigations in an attempt to offer them ELC as soon as possible within the initial hospital admission. This could have played a key role in keeping their white cell count at significantly higher levels related to the relatively longer time of inflammatory response compared with those who presented within 1–3 days of the acute attack.

Although it is already established that ELC is safe and not different from DLC in terms of intraoperative conversion to open and postoperative morbidity and mortality, the optimal time for performing ELC remains elusive [16]. This is attributed in most cases to the heterogeneity regarding the definition of early LC and whether surgery is considered from the timing of onset of acute attack or the timing of patient presentation/admission irrespective of the duration of the acute attack. Most studies in the literature advocate toward performing LC within the 'golden 72 h' from onset of symptoms [9,10,27]. Other authors sought to expand the window for ELC up to 7 or even 10 days from the onset of symptoms after demonstrating no significant added morbidity or mortality [5,13,14,16].

The updated 2020 WSES guidelines tried to clear such ambiguity by recommending LC for acute cholecystitis to be performed as soon as possible within 7 days from hospital admission and within 10 days from the onset of symptoms [16]. Nonetheless, the TG18 updated guidelines proposed performing ELC for patients with ACC as soon as possible regardless of the time elapsed from the onset of acute attack as long as the patient is deemed suitable for surgery [5].

In this study, our primary aim was to compare the outcomes of ELC performed between 4 and 10 days with VELC performed within 3 days from the onset of symptoms. Although the ELC group had significantly longer operative time compared with the VELC group, both groups were similar in terms of rate of conversion to open surgery, subtotal cholecystectomy, and postoperative complications such as bile leak and wound infection. Additionally, the length of postoperative hospital stay was comparable among the two groups including those who were converted to open surgery. Such findings are in accordance with the data reported in several studies, which demonstrated that patients with ACC who received LC beyond 72 h from onset of symptoms have comparable length of postoperative hospital stay and were not associated with increased risk of operative conversion or postoperative biliary complications despite the longer operative time in comparison with those operated within 72h [22,25,28,29]. Such findings highlight the safety and feasibility of offering ELC cautiously to patients who may present later than 72h from the onset of ACC attack without the increased risk of operative conversion or postoperative complications. Brunee et al. [24] in their study supported the reasoning for performing ELC beyond 3 days of onset of ACC; however, they did not recommend ELC after 7 days of onset owning to increased operative difficulty and longer operative time despite no bile duct injury was experienced. On the contrary, conflicting data were reported by other authors who argued that strict adherence to performing ELC within 72h from onset is safer as it offers significantly better outcomes in terms of reduced conversion rates and postoperative biliary complications, shorter length of postoperative hospital stay, and lower overall costs [30–32].

The primary limitation of this study is being a singlecenter retrospective cohort study with relatively small number of patients included. Although our results were in accordance with the data from literature advocating the benefit and highlighting the safety of performing ELC for patients presenting with ACC beyond 3 days of onset without added significant morbidity, we did not perform external validation for our results.

In conclusion, although it remains optimal to perform VELC within 3 days from onset of ACC symptoms, there is growing evidence toward expanding this golden 72-h window to grant ELC for patients presenting with ACC regardless of the duration from onset of symptoms. This is attributed to the absence of significant difference in conversion rate, subtotal cholecystectomy rate, postoperative complications, as well as the length of postoperative hospital stay among patients who underwent ELC beyond 3 days compared with those who received VELC within 3 days from onset of symptoms. Accordingly, we can argue that the duration of acute attack alone should not be considered a major factor to influence surgeon's decision to proceed with LC. Nonetheless, such conclusion should be drawn cautiously where ELC could be offered for properly selected patients presenting with ACC beyond 3 days from onset of symptoms provided that they are performed in specialized and well-equipped medical centers and operated by competent surgeons with adequate surgical expertise in laparoscopy and biliary surgery to deal with the challenges and complex nature of such demanding surgeries wisely to guarantee safety of patients and optimize outcomes while ameliorating postoperative morbidity.

Acknowledgements

Author's contributions: Islam M. Korayem: study conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, and critical revision of the manuscript. Samer S. Bessa: critical revision of the manuscript. Islam El-Sayes: critical revision of the manuscript.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Schirmer BD, Winters KL, Edlich RF. Cholelithiasis and cholecystitis. J Long Term Eff Med Implants 2005; 15:329–338.
- 2 Shaffer EA. Epidemiology and risk factors for gallstone disease: has the paradigm changed in the 21st century?. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2005; 7:132–140.
- 3 Kimura Y, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Pitt HA, Gouma DJ, Garden OJ, et al. TG13 current terminology, etiology, and epidemiology of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2013; 20:8–23.
- 4 Stinton LM, Myers RP, Shaffer EA Epidemiology of gallstones. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2010; 39:157–169.
- 5 Okamoto K, Suzuki K, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Asbun HJ, Endo I, et al. Tokyo guidelines 2018: flowchart for the management of acute cholecystitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2018; 25:55–72.

- 6 Gomi H, Solomkin JS, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Pitt HA, Yoshida M, et al. TG13 antimicrobial therapy for acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2013; 20:60–70.
- 7 Gurusamy K, Samraj K, Gluud C, Wilson E, Davidson BR. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials on the safety and effectiveness of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 2010; 97:141–150.
- 8 Wilson E, Gurusamy K, Gluud C, Davidson BR. Cost-utility and value-ofinformation analysis of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 2010; 97:210–219.
- 9 Papi C, Catarci M, D'Ambrosio L, Gili L, Koch M, Grassi GB, et al. Timing of cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2004; 99:147–155.
- 10 Siddiqui T, MacDonald A, Chong PS, Jenkins JT. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Am J Surg 2008; 195:40–47.
- 11 Zhou MW, Gu XD, Xiang JB, Chen ZY. Comparison of clinical safety and outcomes of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. ScientificWorldJournal 2014; 2014:274516.
- 12 Menahem B, Mulliri A, Fohlen A, Guittet L, Alves A, Lubrano J. Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy increases the total hospital stay compared to an early laparoscopic cholecystectomy after acute cholecystitis: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. HPB (Oxford) 2015; 17:857–862.
- 13 Wu XD, Tian X, Liu MM, Wu L, Zhao S, Zhao L. Meta-analysis comparing early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 2015; 102:1302–1313.
- 14 Gurusamy KS, Davidson C, Gluud C, Davidson BR. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for people with acute cholecystitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013:6:CD005440.
- 15 Lyu Y, Cheng Y, Wang B, Zhao S, Chen L. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: an up-to-date metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Surg Endosc 2018; 32:4728–4741.
- 16 Pisano M, Allievi N, Gurusamy K, Borzellino G, Cimbanassi S, Boerna D, et al. 2020 World Society of Emergency Surgery updated guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute calculus cholecystitis. World J Emerg Surg 2020; 15:61.
- 17 Yokoe M, Hata J, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Asbun HJ, Wakabayashi G, et al. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: diagnostic criteria and severity grading of acute cholecystitis (with videos). J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2018; 25:41–54.
- 18 Ansaloni L, Pisano M, Coccolini F, Peitzmann AB, Fingerhut A, Catena F, et al. 2016 WSES guidelines on acute calculous cholecystitis. World J Emerg Surg 2016; 11:25.

- 19 Coccolini F, Catena F, Pisano M, Gheza F, Fagiuoli S, Di Saverio MS, et al. Open versus laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. Systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg 2015; 18:196–204.
- 20 Schmidt M, Sondenaa K, Vetrhus M, Berhane T, Eide GE. Long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of observation versus surgery for acute cholecystitis: non-operative management is an option in some patients. Scand J Gastroenterol 2011; 46:1257–1262.
- 21 Vetrhus M, Soreide O, Nesvik I, Sondenaa K. Acute cholecystitis: delayed surgery or observation. A randomized clinical trial. Scand J Gastroenterol 2003; 38:985–990.
- 22 Bundgaard NS, Bohm A, Hansted AK, Skovsen AP. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis is safe regardless of timing. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2021; 406:2367–2373.
- 23 Mora-Guzman I, Di Martino M, Gancedo Quintana A, Martin-Perez E. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: is the surgery still safe beyond the 7-day barrier? J Gastrointest Surg 2020; 24: 1827–1832.
- 24 Brunee L, Hauters P, Closset J, Fromont G, Puia-Negelescu S, Club C. Assessment of the optimal timing for early laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis: a prospective study of the Club Coelio. Acta Chir Belg 2019; 119:309–315.
- 25 Popkharitov AI. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2008; 393:935–941.
- 26 Ferrarese AG, Solej M, Enrico S, Falcone A, Catalano S, Pozzi G, et al. Elective and emergency laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly: our experience. BMC Surg 2013; 13 (Suppl 2):S21.
- 27 Kolla SB, Aggarwal S, Kumar A, Kumar R, Chumber S, Parshad R, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a prospective randomized trial. Surg Endosc 2004; 18:1323–1327.
- 28 Ohta M, Iwashita Y, Yada K, Ogawa T, Kai S, Ishio T, et al. Operative timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in a Japanese institute. JSLS 2012; 16:65–70.
- 29 Degrate L, Ciravegna AL, Luperto M, Guaglio M, Garancini M, Maternini M, et al. Acute cholecystitis: the golden 72-h period is not a strict limit to perform early cholecystectomy. Results from 316 consecutive patients. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2013; 398:1129–1136.
- 30 Al-Mulhim AA. Timing of early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. JSLS 2008; 12:282–287.
- 31 Wiggins T, Markar SR, MacKenzie H, Faiz O, Mukherjee D, Khoo DE, et al. Optimum timing of emergency cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in England: population-based cohort study. Surg Endosc 2019; 33:2495–2502.
- 32 Cao AM, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is superior to delayed acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. Surg Endosc 2016; 30:1172–1182.